

To: Dr. Sandra F. DeLauder, Dean of Graduate Studies and Research

The members of the Committee approved the Thesis of Yanan Xu
Student's Name
as presented on 3/6/2014.
Date

We recommend that it be accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science with a major in Mathematics

Arigan Bawa
Advisor Department Mathematical Sciences Date 3/19/2014

Paul F. Johnson
Member Department Mathematical Sciences Date 3/18/14

Ralph Johnson
Member Department Mathematical Sciences Date 3/18/14

Steve
Outside Member Affiliation Physics & Eng. Date 3/20/14

APPROVED

[Signature]
Program Director Department Mathematical Sciences Date 3/20/2014

[Signature]
Dean College Math, Nat. Sci & Tech. Date 03/20/2014

Sandra F. DeLauder
Dean, School of Graduate Studies and Research Date 3/27/2014

OPTICAL SOLITONS IN MULTI-DIMENSIONS WITH
SPATIO-TEMPORAL DISPERSION AND NON-KERR LAW
NONLINEARITY

by

YANAN XU

A THESIS

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
degree of Master of Science in
the Mathematical Physics Graduate Program
of Delaware State University

DOVER, DELAWARE
March 2014

TITLE

OPTICAL SOLITONS IN MULTI-DIMENSIONS WITH SPATIO-TEMPORAL DISPERSION AND NON-KERR LAW NONLINEARITY

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2014 by Yanan Xu. All rights reserved.

DEDICATION

I dedicate my dissertation work to my family and teachers. A special feeling of gratitude to my loving parents, whose words of encouragement and push for tenacity ring in my ears. They have never left my side and are very special.

I also dedicate this dissertation to my teachers who have supported me throughout the process. I will always appreciate all they have done.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. Biswas, my supervisor, for his many suggestions and constant support during this research.

I should also mention that my graduate studies in USA were supported in part by the Research Group of Dr. Liu.

I am grateful to my parents for their patience and love. Without them this work would never have come into existence.

ABSTRACT

This thesis studies the dynamics of optical solitons in multi-dimensions with spatio-temporal dispersion and non-Kerr law nonlinearity. The integrability aspect is the main focus of this thesis. Five different forms of nonlinearity that are considered - Kerr Law, Power Law, Parabolic Law, Dual-Power Law and Log Law nonlinearity. The traveling wave hypothesis, ansatz approach and the semi-inverse variational principle are the integrations tools that are adopted to retrieve the soliton solutions to the governing equation. As a result, several constraint conditions arise out of the integration process and represent necessary conditions for the existence of solitons.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	i
COPYRIGHT	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi

Chapter

1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 GOVERNING EQUATION	2
2 TRAVELING WAVES	4
2.1 KERR LAW	5
2.2 POWER LAW	7
2.3 PARABOLIC LAW	9
2.4 DUAL-POWER LAW	11
2.5 LOG LAW	12
3 ANSATZ METHOD	15
3.1 BRIGHT SOLITONS	16
3.1.1 KERR LAW	16
3.1.2 POWER LAW	17
3.1.3 PARABOLIC LAW	19
3.1.4 DUAL-POWER LAW	20
3.1.5 LOG LAW	22
3.2 DARK SOLITONS	23
3.2.1 KERR LAW	24
3.2.2 POWER LAW	25
3.2.3 PARABOLIC LAW	26

3.2.4	DUAL-POWER LAW	28
3.3	SINGULAR SOLITONS	31
3.3.1	KERR LAW	31
3.3.2	POWER LAW	32
3.3.3	PARABOLIC LAW	32
3.3.4	DUAL-POWER LAW	33
4	SEMI-INVERSE VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE	35
4.1	KERR LAW	36
4.2	POWER LAW	38
4.3	PARABOLIC LAW	40
4.4	DUAL-POWER LAW	43
4.5	LOG LAW	46
5	CONCLUSIONS	48
	REFERENCE LIST	49
	CURRICULUM VITAE	52

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

The dynamics of soliton propagation through optical fibers, centro-symmetric crystals, optical meta-materials and other forms of wave guides have been studied for the past few decades [1-30]. In most of the papers that are published so far, the focus is on the study of optical solitons in (1+1)-dimensions. However, it is much more practical to consider the dynamics of optical solitons in multi-dimensions. In this thesis, our study focuses on solitons in (2+1)-dimensions - two spatial and one temporal dimension.

The governing equation is the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) with dual-dispersion term. In addition to the group-velocity dispersion (GVD), the spatio-temporal dispersion (STD) term is also taken into account. It was recently proved that the inclusion of the STD term makes the governing NLSE well-posed as opposed to NLSE with only GVD that is ill-posed [5, 17, 30].

The purpose of this paper will be to extract the exact solution to the governing NLSE. There are three integration tools that will be adopted to obtain the solution to the NLSE. The traveling wave hypothesis will reveal the waves of permanent form, while the ansatz method will also lead to the exact 1-soliton solution. In the second method, bright, dark as well as singular soliton solutions will be obtained. The third approach is the semi-inverse variational principle that will give the analytical solution to the NLSE in (2+1)-dimensions and this is the inverse problems approach. All

of these integration approaches leave constraint conditions, between the parameters and coefficients, that are imperative in order for the solitons to exist. It needs to be noted that these three approaches have already been implemented to carry out the integration of the NLSE, with STD in (1+1)-dimension [3, 23, 24].

1.1 GOVERNING EQUATION

The dimensionless form of the NLSE in (2+1)-dimensions with dual-dispersion is given by [3, 23, 24]

$$iq_t + a_1 (q_{xx} + q_{yy}) + a_2 q_{xt} + a_3 q_{yt} + F(|q|^2) q = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $q(x, y, t)$ represents the wave profile of the soliton, x and y are the spatial variables while t is the temporal variable. The first term is the linear evolution term. The coefficients of a_1 represent the GVD in the x - and y - direction. The coefficients of a_2 and a_3 represents the STDs in the x and y -directions respectively. The addition of these STD terms makes the NLSE well-posed [5, 17].

Finally, in (1.1), the last term is the nonlinear term where the functional F represents the form of nonlinearity. There are five types of nonlinearity considered. Here, F is a real-valued algebraic function.

The focus of this paper is to study the integrability of (1.1) with five forms of nonlinearity given by the functional F . These are the Kerr law, power law, parabolic law, dual-power law and the log law. There are three forms of integration architecture that are applied in this thesis. The first two approaches lead to an exact soliton solution while the third approach leads to a closed form analytical 1-soliton solution. The first

method is the traveling wave hypothesis which will lead to bright 1-soliton solution only. The second form of integration, known as the ansatz approach will retrieve bright solitons, dark solitons and the singular solitons. Finally, the semi-inverse variational (SVP) approach will also only retrieve 1-soliton solution to the NLSE. These approaches are addressed in details in the next three chapters.

Chapter 2

TRAVELING WAVES

The traveling wave hypothesis leads to waves of permanent forms for equation (1.1). Occassionally, this approach is also known as the first integral method. The velocity of the soliton is obtained and 1-soliton solution will be retrieved for the five forms of nonlinearity. The starting hypothesis is that (1.1) can be written as [2, 23, 24]

$$q(x, y, t) = g(s)e^{i\phi(x,y,t)}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $g(s)$ is the amplitude component of the wave and $\phi(x, y, t)$ is the phase that is defined by

$$\phi(x, y, t) = -\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$s = B_1 x + B_2 y - vt. \quad (2.3)$$

Here, the parameters B_1 and B_2 are related to the inverse width of the soliton in the x - and y - directions respectively. Then the parameter v is the speed of the soliton. From the phase component, κ_j for $j = 1, 2$ gives the frequencies of the solitons along the x - and y -directions respectively while θ is the phase constant.

Substituting (2.1) into (1.1) and decomposing into real and imaginary parts leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} g'' \\ & - \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} g + F(g^2) g = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$v = \frac{\omega (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - 2a_1 (\kappa_1 B_1 + \kappa_2 B_2)}{1 - a_2 \kappa_1 - a_3 \kappa_2} \quad (2.5)$$

where g'' stands for d^2g/ds^2 . Equation (2.5) poses the immediate constraint

$$a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 \neq 1 \quad (2.6)$$

in order for the soliton to exist. Next, multiplying (2.4) by g' and integrating leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{B_1 x + B_2 y - vt}{\sqrt{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)}} \\ & = \int \frac{dg}{\sqrt{\{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} - 2 \int^g h F(h^2) dh}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

In the subsections that follow, equation (2.7) is used to find the 1-soliton solution for five forms of nonlinearity.

2.1 KERR LAW

This section focuses on the Kerr law of nonlinearity. The origin of the nonlinear response is related to the non-harmonic motion of bound electrons under the influence

of an applied field. This results in the induced polarization being nonlinear electric field, and involves higher order terms in electric field amplitude [1].

For Kerr law nonlinearity, $F(u) = bu$, for a dummy variable u and $b \neq 0$, so then equation (1.1) reduces to

$$iq_t + a_1(q_{xx} + q_{yy}) + a_2q_{xt} + a_3q_{yt} + b|q|^2q = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

hence (2.7) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{B_1x + B_2y - vt}{\sqrt{a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)}} \\ = & 2 \int \frac{dg}{\sqrt{2\{a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1)\} - bg^4}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

which, upon integration leads to

$$g(s) = g(B_1x + B_2y - vt) = A \operatorname{sech}[B(B_1x + B_2y - vt)] \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$A = \left[\frac{2\{a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1)\}}{b} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$B = \left[\frac{a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1)}{a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.12)$$

These two relations introduce the constraint conditions given by

$$b \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} > 0 \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} > 0 \quad (2.14)$$

respectively. Finally, the 1-soliton solution to (2.8) is given by

$$q(x, y, t) = A \operatorname{sech}[B (B_1 x + B_2 y - vt)] e^{i(-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta)} \quad (2.15)$$

where the amplitude A and the parameter B are respectively given by (2.11) and (2.12). An additional constraint that must also hold is given in (2.6) for the solitons to exist.

2.2 POWER LAW

Power law nonlinearity is displayed in various materials including semiconductors. This law also occurs in media for which higher order photon processes dominate at different intensities. Moreover, in nonlinear plasmas, the power law solves the problem of small K -condensation in weak turbulence theory and this law is also viewed as a generalization to the Kerr law nonlinearity [1]. For power law nonlinearity $F(u) = bu^n$, where $b \neq 0$, the NLSE given by

$$iq_t + a_1 (q_{xx} + q_{yy}) + a_2 q_{xt} + a_3 q_{yt} + b|q|^{2n}q = 0, \quad (2.16)$$

reduces to Kerr law NLSE given by (2.7). It must be noted that for practical considerations,

$$0 < n < 2, \quad (2.17)$$

in particular $n \neq 2$ in order to avoid self-focusing singularity [1, 10]. Therefore equation (2.6), becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{B_1 x + B_2 y - vt}{\sqrt{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)}} \\ = & (n+1) \int \frac{dg}{\sqrt{(n+1) \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} - b g^{2n+2}}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

and yields the solution

$$g(s) = g(B_1 x + B_2 y - vt) = A \operatorname{sech}^{\frac{1}{n}} [B (B_1 x + B_2 y - vt)] \quad (2.19)$$

with

$$A = \left[\frac{(n+1) \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\}}{b} \right]^{\frac{1}{2n}} \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$B = n \left[\frac{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)}{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.21)$$

Requiring that $A > 0$ and $B > 0$, lead to (2.13) and (2.14), the same constraints as given for Kerr law nonlinearity. The 1-soliton solution is

$$q(x, y, t) = A \operatorname{sech}^{\frac{1}{n}}[B (B_1 x + B_2 y - vt)] e^{i(-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta)} \quad (2.22)$$

which reduces to the soliton for the Kerr law nonlinearity when $n = 1$.

2.3 PARABOLIC LAW

It is necessary to consider nonlinearities higher than the third-order in order in an attempt to obtain some knowledge of the diameter of a self-trapping beam. There was little or no attention paid to the propagation of optical beams in the fifth-order nonlinear media, since no analytic solutions existed and it seemed that chances of finding any material with significant fifth-order term was low, until the present time [1, 9]. For parabolic law nonlinearity, also known as cubic-quintic law, $F(u) = b_1 u + b_2 u^2$, with $b_1 \neq 0$ and $b_2 \neq 0$, the NLSE becomes

$$iq_t + a_1 (q_{xx} + q_{yy}) + a_2 q_{xt} + a_3 q_{yt} + (b_1 |q|^2 + b_2 |q|^4) q = 0, \quad (2.23)$$

and (2.7) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{B_1 x + B_2 y - vt}{\sqrt{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)}} \\ &= \int \frac{\sqrt{6} dg}{\sqrt{6 \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} - 3b_1 g^4 - 2b_2 g^6}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

and leads to

$$g(s) = g(B_1x + B_2y - vt) = \frac{A}{\{D_1 + \cosh[B(B_1x + B_2y - vt)]\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (2.25)$$

The amplitude is

$$A = \frac{2\sqrt{3} \{a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1)\}}{[9b_1^2 + 48b_2 \{a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)\}]^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \quad (2.26)$$

while the parameters B and D_1 are respectively given by

$$B = 2 \left[\frac{a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1)}{a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.27)$$

and

$$D_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}b_1}{\sqrt{3b_1^2 + 16b_2 \{a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1)\}}}. \quad (2.28)$$

For the solitons to exist, however, the form of the expressions for the parameters A , B and D_1 we deduce that the following constraints must be satisfied

$$a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2) > 0, \quad (2.29)$$

$$a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1) > 0, \quad (2.30)$$

$$3b_1^2 + 16b_2 \{a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)\} > 0. \quad (2.31)$$

The 1-soliton solution to the NLSE with parabolic law nonlinearity is

$$q(x, y, t) = \frac{A}{\{D_1 + \cosh [B (B_1 x + B_2 y - vt)]\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{i(-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta)} \quad (2.32)$$

where all the parameters are now defined along with the constraint conditions (2.6), (2.29)-(2.31).

2.4 DUAL-POWER LAW

This model is a generalized version of the parabolic law nonlinearity and it also serves as a basic model to describe solitons in photovoltaic-photorefractive materials such as LiNbO₃ [10]. Here, $F(u) = b_1 u^n + b_2 u^{2n}$, with b_1 and b_2 being non-zero constants, and consequently, if $n = 1$ the dual-power law nonlinearity collapses to parabolic law. The NLSE is now given by

$$iq_t + a_1 (q_{xx} + q_{yy}) + a_2 q_{xt} + a_3 q_{yt} + (b_1 |q|^{2n} + b_2 |q|^{4n}) q = 0, \quad (2.33)$$

and therefore (2.7) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{B_1 x + B_2 y - vt}{\sqrt{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)}} \\ = & \int \frac{\sqrt{(n+1)(2n+1)} dg}{\sqrt{(n+1)(2n+1) \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} - (2n+1)b_1 g^{2n+2} - (n+1)b_2 g^{4n+2}}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

and leads to

$$g(s) = g(B_1 x + B_2 y - vt) = \frac{A}{\{D_2 + \cosh [B (B_1 x + B_2 y - vt)]\}^{\frac{1}{2n}}} \quad (2.35)$$

where the amplitude is

$$A = \frac{(n+1)\sqrt{(2n+1)\{a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1)\}}}{[(2n+1)^2b_1^2 + 4(n+1)^2(2n+1)b_2\{a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)\}]^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \quad (2.36)$$

and the parameters B and D_2 are

$$B = 2n \left[\frac{a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1)}{a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.37)$$

and

$$D_2 = \frac{b_1\sqrt{2n+1}}{\sqrt{(2n+1)b_1^2 + 4b_2(n+1)^2\{a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1)\}}}. \quad (2.38)$$

In this case, D_2 poses the constraint

$$(2n+1)b_1^2 + 4(n+1)^2b_2\{a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1)\} > 0. \quad (2.39)$$

Therefore, the 1-soliton solution to the NLSE with dual-power law nonlinearity is

$$q(x, y, t) = \frac{A}{\{D_2 + \cosh[B(B_1x + B_2y - vt)]\}^{\frac{1}{2n}}} e^{i(-\kappa_1x - \kappa_2y + \omega t + \theta)}, \quad (2.40)$$

where the parameters are all defined and the constraint conditions are given by (2.6), (2.29), (2.30) and (2.39). One can clearly observe that all the results of this subsection collapses to the results of the parabolic law, when $n = 1$.

2.5 LOG LAW

This law appears in contemporary physics. It allows for closed form exact expressions for Gaussian beams (*Gausssons*) as well as in the periodic and quasi-periodic regimes

of beam evolution. The advantage of this model is that the beams do not shed any radiation and this gives log law nonlinearity an edge over other forms of nonlinearity. For log law nonlinearity, $F(u) = b \ln u$, with $b \neq 0$, the governing NLSE is [3]

$$iq_t + a_1 (q_{xx} + q_{yy}) + a_2 q_{xt} + a_3 q_{yt} + bq \ln |q|^2 = 0. \quad (2.41)$$

Therefore (2.7), in this case, simplifies to

$$= \frac{\frac{B_1 x + B_2 y - vt}{\sqrt{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)}}}{\int \frac{dg}{g \sqrt{\{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} - b (2 \ln g - 1)}}}, \quad (2.42)$$

which integrates to

$$g(s) = g(B_1 x + B_2 y - vt) = A e^{-B^2 (B_1 x + B_2 y - vt)^2}, \quad (2.43)$$

where the amplitude is

$$A = \exp \left\{ \frac{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1) + b}{2b} \right\} \quad (2.44)$$

and the parameter B is

$$B = \sqrt{\frac{b}{2 \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\}}}. \quad (2.45)$$

The existence of the Gaussons are guaranteed through the constraint conditions (7) and

$$b \{ a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) \} > 0 \quad (2.46)$$

Finally, the 1-soliton, or Gausson, solution to (42) is given by

$$q(x, y, t) = A e^{-B^2 (B_1 x + B_2 y - vt)^2} e^{i(-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta)} \quad (2.47)$$

where the parameters are all defined with the necessary constraint conditions in place.

Chapter 3

ANSATZ METHOD

This chapter discusses the second integration tool that we adopt to integrate the NLSE with STD. This method typically reveals three types of solitons, bright solitons, dark solitons and singular optical solitons. There are the necessary constraint conditions that will naturally arise from the structure of the soliton solution. Thus, this method has an edge over the traveling wave hypothesis approach.

The starting hypothesis for the ansatz method for NLSE given by (1.1) is [23, 24]

$$q(x, t) = P(x, y, t)e^{i\phi(x, y, t)} \quad (3.1)$$

where $P(x, y, t)$ represents the amplitude component of the soliton and it is assumed that P is at least twice differentiable with respect to its variables. Therefore, substituting (3.1) into (1.1) and decomposing into real and imaginary parts leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \omega (1 - a_2 \kappa_1 - a_3 \kappa_2) + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) \right\} P - F(P^2) P \\ & - a_1 \left(\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y^2} \right) - a_2 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x \partial t} - a_3 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y \partial t} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$(1 - a_2 \kappa_1 - a_3 \kappa_2) \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} - 2a_1 \left(\kappa_1 \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \kappa_2 \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \right) + \omega \left(a_2 \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + a_3 \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \right) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

The imaginary part equation, will lead to the speed of the soliton as determined earlier in (2.5). This rest of this chapter will focus on the real part of the equation

given by (3.2). The study will now be split into three subsections. These subsections will address bright solitons, dark solitons and singular solitons respectively.

3.1 BRIGHT SOLITONS

The bright solitons are derived for five types of nonlinearity as in the previous section.

3.1.1 KERR LAW

For Kerr law nonlinearity, the real part of equation (3.2) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \omega (1 - a_2 \kappa_1 - a_3 \kappa_2) + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) \right\} P - bP^3 \\ & - a_1 \left(\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y^2} \right) - a_2 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x \partial t} - a_3 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y \partial t} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The starting hypothesis in this case will be [23, 24]

$$P(x, y, t) = A \operatorname{sech}^p s \quad (3.5)$$

where s is the same as defined in (2.3) and the exponent p is unknown at this point. The value of this unknown exponent p is revealed, once the balancing principle is implemented. Substituting (3.5) into (3.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \omega + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2) \right\} \operatorname{sech}^p s - bA^2 \operatorname{sech}^{3p} s \\ & + \left\{ a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) \right\} \left\{ p^2 \operatorname{sech}^p s - p(p+1) \operatorname{sech}^{p+2} s \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By the balancing principle, equating the exponents $3p$ and $p + 2$ leads to

$$p = 1. \quad (3.7)$$

Next, setting the coefficients of the linearly independent functions $\text{sech}^{p+j}s$ to zero, for $j = 0, 2$ leads to

$$\omega = \frac{v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2 + \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2)}{1 - a_2 \kappa_1 - a_3 \kappa_2} \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$A = \left[\frac{2 \{v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2)\}}{b} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.9)$$

provided

$$b \{v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2)\} > 0 \quad (3.10)$$

and (2.6) remain valid. Thus, the 1-soliton solution to the NLSE by ansatz method is given by

$$q(x, y, t) = A \text{sech}(B_1 x + B_2 y - vt) e^{i(-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta)}. \quad (3.11)$$

3.1.2 POWER LAW

For power law nonlinearity, equation (3.2) transforms to

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \omega(1 - a_2 \kappa_1 - a_3 \kappa_2) + a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) \} P - b P^{2n+1} \\ & - a_1 \left(\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y^2} \right) - a_2 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x \partial t} - a_3 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y \partial t} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

so that the starting hypothesis stays the same as in (3.5). Therefore substituting (3.5) into (3.12) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \omega + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2) \right\} \text{sech}^p s - b A^{2n} \text{sech}^{(2n+1)p} s \\ & + \left\{ a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) \right\} \left\{ p^2 \text{sech}^p s - p(p+1) \text{sech}^{p+2} s \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Again, applying the balancing principle yields

$$p = \frac{1}{n}. \quad (3.14)$$

Equating the coefficients of the same linearly independent functions, as for the Kerr law case, implies

$$\omega = \frac{v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1 \{ (B_1^2 + B_2^2) + n^2 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) \}}{1 - a_2 \kappa_1 - a_3 \kappa_2} \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$A = \left[\frac{(n+1) \{ v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) \}}{n^2 b} \right]^{\frac{1}{2n}} \quad (3.16)$$

which leads to the same constraint condition (3.10). Therefore, the 1-soliton solution with the power law nonlinearity is given by

$$q(x, y, t) = A \text{sech}^{\frac{1}{n}} (B_1 x + B_2 y - vt) e^{i(-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta)}. \quad (3.17)$$

3.1.3 PARABOLIC LAW

For this case, equation (3.2) transforms to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \omega (1 - a_2 \kappa_1 - a_3 \kappa_2) + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) \right\} P - b_1 P^3 - b_2 P^5 \\ & - a_1 \left(\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y^2} \right) - a_2 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x \partial t} - a_3 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y \partial t} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

The starting hypothesis is given by [23, 24]

$$P(x, y, t) = \frac{A}{(D_1 + \cosh s)^p} \quad (3.19)$$

where, D_1 is a parameters whose value and that of the exponent p is determined by using the balancing principle. Substituting (3.19) into (3.18) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\omega + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2) \right. \\ & - p^2 \{ a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) \} \Big] \\ & + p(2p+1)D_1 \frac{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)}{D_1 + \cosh s} \\ & + p(p+1) (D_1^2 - 1) \frac{v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2)}{(D_1 + \cosh s)^2} \\ & - \frac{b_1 A^2}{(D_1 + \cosh s)^{2p}} - \frac{b_2 A^4}{(D_1 + \cosh s)^{4p}} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

By the balancing the pairs of exponent $(2p, 1)$ or $(4p, 2)$ leads to

$$p = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.21)$$

Finally, setting the coefficients of the linearly independent functions $1/(D_1 + \cosh s)^j$ to zero, for $j = 0, 1, 2$ leads to

$$\omega = \frac{v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - 4a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2)}{4\{1 - (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2)\}} \quad (3.22)$$

and the amplitude is given by

$$A = \left[\frac{D_1 \{a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\}}{b_1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.23)$$

where the parameter

$$D_1 = b_1 \sqrt{\frac{3}{3b_1^2 + 4b_2 \{a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\}}}. \quad (3.24)$$

The constraint relation is

$$3b_1^2 + 4b_2 \{a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} > 0 \quad (3.25)$$

and as before (2.6) must still remain valid. Hence, the 1-soliton solution to the NLSE with parabolic law nonlinearity is

$$q(x, y, t) = \frac{A}{\{D_1 + \cosh(B_1 x + B_2 y - vt)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{i(-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta)} \quad (3.26)$$

3.1.4 DUAL-POWER LAW

From (3.2), the function $P(x, t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\omega(1 - a_2 \kappa_1 - a_3 \kappa_2) + a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2)\} P - b_1 P^{2n+1} - b_2 P^{4n+1} \\ & - a_1 \left(\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y^2} \right) - a_2 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x \partial t} - a_3 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y \partial t} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

The trial function is given by [23, 24]

$$P(x, y, t) = \frac{A}{(D_2 + \cosh s)^p} \quad (3.28)$$

where D_2 is a parameter. Substituting (3.28) into (3.27) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & [\omega + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2) \\ & - p^2 \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\}] \\ & + p(2p+1)D_2 \frac{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)}{D_2 + \cosh s} \\ & + p(p+1) (D_2^2 - 1) \frac{v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2)}{(D_2 + \cosh s)^2} \\ & - \frac{b_1 A^{2n}}{(D_2 + \cosh s)^{2np}} - \frac{b_2 A^{4n}}{(D_2 + \cosh s)^{4np}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Again, by the balancing principle, from the exponents pairs $(2np, 1)$ or $(4np, 2)$ it is found that

$$p = \frac{1}{2n}. \quad (3.30)$$

Equating the coefficients of the linearly independent functions of $1/(D_1 + \cosh s)^j$ to zero, for $j = 0, 1, 2$ the following expressions are obtained for soliton parameters ω , A and D_2 :

$$\omega = \frac{v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - 4n^2 a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2)}{4n^2 \{1 - (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2)\}}, \quad (3.31)$$

$$A = \left[\frac{(n+1)D_2 \{v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2)\}}{2n^2 b_1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2n}}, \quad (3.32)$$

$$D_2 = nb_1 \sqrt{\frac{2n+1}{n^2(2n+1)b_1^2 + (n+1)^2b_2 \{a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)\}}}. \quad (3.33)$$

The constraint relation, in this case, is

$$n^2(2n+1)b_1^2 + (n+1)^2b_2 \{a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)\} > 0. \quad (3.34)$$

As before, (2.6) must remain valid as well. It needs to be noted that all the results in this subsection for dual-power law nonlinearity collapses to the results of the previous section for parabolic law nonlinearity upon setting $n = 1$. Hence, the 1-soliton solution to the NLSE with dual-power law is given by

$$q(x, y, t) = \frac{A}{\{D_2 + \cosh(B_1x + B_2y - vt)\}^{\frac{1}{2n}}} e^{i(-\kappa_1x - \kappa_2y + \omega t + \theta)}. \quad (3.35)$$

3.1.5 LOG LAW

For log law nonlinearity, the real part equation given by (3.2) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\omega(1 - a_2\kappa_2 - a_3\kappa_2) + a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2)\}P - bP \ln P^2 \\ & - a_1 \left(\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y^2} \right) - a_2 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x \partial t} - a_3 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y \partial t} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

so that the starting hypothesis is given by

$$P(x, y, t) = Ae^{-s^2} \quad (3.37)$$

which is a Gausson beam with the amplitude A and widths B_1 and B_2 along x - and y - directions respectively. Substituting (3.37) into (3.36) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega \{1 - (a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2)\} + a_1 \{(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) + 2(B_1^2 + B_2^2)\} \\ & - 2\{v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2) + b \ln A\} \\ & - 2\{2a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - 2v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2) - b\} s^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Next, setting the coefficients of the linearly independent functions s^j for $j = 0, 2$ to zero leads to

$$\omega = \frac{2b \ln A + 2v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2) - 2a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) - a_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2)}{1 - (a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2)} \quad (3.39)$$

and

$$2a_1(B_1^2 + B_2^2) = 2v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2) + b \quad (3.40)$$

which connects the two widths of the Gausson with its speed. Thus, finally, the Gausson beam solution to the NLSE with log law nonlinearity is given by

$$q(x, y, t) = Ae^{-(B_1x+B_2y-vt)^2} e^{i(-\kappa_1x-\kappa_2y+\omega t+\theta)} \quad (3.41)$$

with the constraint (2.6) in place.

3.2 DARK SOLITONS

In this subsection we obtain the dark soliton solution to the NLSE with STD in (2+1)-dimensions. It is not yet known if log law nonlinearity supports dark solitons.

Therefore the study will be only with Kerr law, power law, parabolic and dual-power laws of nonlinearity.

3.2.1 KERR LAW

For Kerr law nonlinearity, the starting hypothesis is given by [23, 24]

$$P(x, y, t) = A \tanh^p s \quad (3.42)$$

where the value of the unknown exponent p is determined by the balancing principle and the parameters s is still defined by (2.3). It needs to be noted that for dark solitons the parameters A , B_1 and B_2 are all free parameters. Now, substituting (3.42) into (3.4) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \omega (1 - a_2 \kappa_1 - a_3 \kappa_2) + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) \right\} \tanh^p s - b A^2 \tanh^{3p} s \\ & - p \left\{ a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) \right\} \times \\ & \times \left\{ (p-1) \tanh^{p-2} s - 2p \tanh^p s + (p+1) \tanh^{p+2} s \right\} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

By the balancing principle as for the bright solitons, $p = 1$. Next, setting the coefficients of the remaining linearly independent functions $\tanh^{p+j} s$ for $j = 0, 2$ leads to

$$\omega = \frac{2 \{v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2)\} - a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2)}{1 - a_2 \kappa_1 - a_3 \kappa_2} \quad (3.44)$$

and

$$A = \left[\frac{2 \{v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2)\}}{b} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.45)$$

provided

$$b \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} < 0. \quad (3.46)$$

The dark 1-soliton solution to the NLSE

$$q(x, y, t) = A \tanh (B_1 x + B_2 y - vt) e^{i(-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta)} \quad (3.47)$$

with the constraint conditions given by (2.6) and (3.46).

3.2.2 POWER LAW

For power law nonlinearity, substituting (3.42) into (3.12) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \omega (1 - a_2 \kappa_1 - a_3 \kappa_2) + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) \} \tanh^p s - b A^{2n} \tanh^{(2n+1)p} s \\ & - p \{ a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) \} \times \\ & \times \{ (p-1) \tanh^{p-2} s - 2p \tanh^p s + (p+1) \tanh^{p+2} s \} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

By the balancing principle, the value of $p = 1/n$ and thus

$$n = 1. \quad (3.49)$$

This means that the NLSE with power law nonlinearity collapses to NLSE with Kerr law nonlinearity. Hence, the NLSE with power law nonlinearity does not support dark soliton solution unless it reduces to Kerr law nonlinearity. This is a very important observation that is being made for the first time, in the context of NLSE in (2+1)-dimensions with STD. Therefore, all the results from the previous subsection hold

true for power law NLSE. Hence equations (3.44)-(3.47) are also valid for power law nonlinearity.

3.2.3 PARABOLIC LAW

For parabolic law nonlinearity, we assume dark soliton is given by [23, 24, 27]

$$q(x, y, t) = (A + B \tanh s)^p e^{i\phi}. \quad (3.50)$$

Substituting (3.50) into (2.23) and decomposing into real and imaginary parts leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & - \omega (A + B \tanh s)^p + a_1 B^2 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) p (p-1) \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right)^2 (A + B \tanh s)^{p-2} \\ & + \frac{a_1 p (p+1) (B_1^2 + B_2^2)}{B^2} (A + B \tanh s)^{p+2} \\ & + 2a_1 p (2p-1) A (B_1^2 + B_2^2) \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right) (A + B \tanh s)^{p-1} \\ & + a_1 \left[2 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) p^2 \left(\frac{3A^2}{B^2} - 1\right) - \kappa_1^2 - \kappa_2^2 \right] (A + B \tanh s)^p \\ & - \frac{2a_1 p (2p+1) A (B_1^2 + B_2^2)}{B^2} (A + B \tanh s)^{p+1} \\ & - B^2 (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) v p (p-1) \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right)^2 (A + B \tanh s)^{p-2} \\ & - \frac{p (p+1) (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) v}{B^2} (A + B \tanh s)^{p+2} \\ & - 2p (2p-1) \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right) A (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) v (A + B \tanh s)^{p-1} \\ & + \left[2 (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) v p^2 \left(1 - \frac{3A^2}{B^2}\right) + (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2) \omega \right] (A + B \tanh s)^p \\ & + \frac{2p (2p+1) A (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) v}{B^2} (A + B \tanh s)^{p+1} \\ & + b_1 (A + B \tanh s)^{3p} + b_2 (A + B \tanh s)^{5p} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& - pBv \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right) (A + B \tanh s)^{p-1} - \frac{2pvA}{B} (A + B \tanh s)^p \\
& + \frac{pv}{B} (A + B \tanh s)^{p+1} \\
& - 2a_1pB (\kappa_1 B_1 + \kappa_2 B_2) \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right) (A + B \tanh s)^{p-1} \\
& - \frac{4a_1pA (\kappa_1 B_1 + \kappa_2 B_2)}{B} (A + B \tanh s)^p \\
& + \frac{2a_1p (\kappa_1 B_1 + \kappa_2 B_2)}{B} (A + B \tanh s)^{p+1} \\
& + pB \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right) [(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2)v + \omega (a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)] (A + B \tanh s)^{p-1} \\
& + \frac{2pA}{B} [(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2)v + \omega (a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)] (A + B \tanh s)^p \\
& - \frac{p}{B} [(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2)v + \omega (a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)] (A + B \tanh s)^{p+1} = 0 \tag{3.52}
\end{aligned}$$

Equating the exponent pairs $(p+2, 5p)$ or $(p+1, 3p)$ lead to $p = 1/2$. From equation (3.52), setting the coefficients of the linearly independent functions $(A + B \tanh s)^{p+j}$ to zero, for $j = 0, \pm 1$, gives

$$A = B, \tag{3.53}$$

and the speed of the soliton that is given by (2.5). Now from equation (3.51), the following relations are recovered after setting the coefficients of the linearly independent functions $(A + B \tanh s)^{p+j}$ to zero, where $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$, gives

$$\omega = \frac{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2)}{a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1}, \tag{3.54}$$

$$v = \frac{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2)}{(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)}, \tag{3.55}$$

and

$$A = B = -\frac{3b_1}{8b_2}. \quad (3.56)$$

These relations prompt the constraints

$$a_2B_1 + a_3B_2 \neq 0, \quad (3.57)$$

and

$$b_2 \neq 0. \quad (3.58)$$

The dark 1-soliton solution for the NLSE with parabolic law nonlinearity is given by

$$q(x, y, t) = \sqrt{A \{1 + \tanh(B_1x + B_2y - vt)\}} e^{i(-\kappa_1x - \kappa_2y + \omega t + \theta)}. \quad (3.59)$$

3.2.4 DUAL-POWER LAW

For dual-power law nonlinearity, our starting hypothesis for dark 1-soliton solution, stays the same as given by (3.50) [23, 24, 27]. Upon substituting this ansatz into

(2.33) and decomposing into real and imaginary parts leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \omega (A + B \tanh s)^p + a_1 B^2 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) p (p-1) \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right)^2 (A + B \tanh s)^{p-2} \\
& + \frac{a_1 p (p+1) (B_1^2 + B_2^2)}{B^2} (A + B \tanh s)^{p+2} \\
& + 2a_1 p (2p-1) A (B_1^2 + B_2^2) \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right) (A + B \tanh s)^{p-1} \\
& + a_1 \left[2 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) p^2 \left(\frac{3A^2}{B^2} - 1\right) - \kappa_1^2 - \kappa_2^2 \right] (A + B \tanh s)^p \\
& - \frac{2a_1 p (2p+1) A (B_1^2 + B_2^2)}{B^2} (A + B \tanh s)^{p+1} \\
& - B^2 (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) v p (p-1) \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right)^2 (A + B \tanh s)^{p-2} \\
& - \frac{p (p+1) (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) v}{B^2} (A + B \tanh s)^{p+2} \\
& - 2p (2p-1) \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right) A (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) v (A + B \tanh \tau)^{p-1} \\
& + \left[2 (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) v p^2 \left(1 - \frac{3A^2}{B^2}\right) + (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2) \omega \right] (A + B \tanh s)^p \\
& + \frac{2p (2p+1) A (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) v}{B^2} (A + B \tanh s)^{p+1} \\
& + b_1 (A + B \tanh s)^{p(2n+1)} + b_2 (A + B \tanh s)^{p(4n+1)} = 0, \tag{3.60}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& - pBv \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right) (A + B \tanh s)^{p-1} \\
& - \frac{2pvA}{B} (A + B \tanh s)^p + \frac{pv}{B} (A + B \tanh s)^{p+1} \\
& - 2a_1pB (\kappa_1 B_1 + \kappa_2 B_2) \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right) (A + B \tanh s)^{p-1} \\
& - \frac{4a_1pA (\kappa_1 B_1 + \kappa_2 B_2)}{B} (A + B \tanh s)^p \\
& + \frac{2a_1p (\kappa_1 B_1 + \kappa_2 B_2)}{B} (A + B \tanh s)^{p+1} \\
& + pB \left(1 - \frac{A^2}{B^2}\right) [(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2)v + \omega (a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)] (A + B \tanh s)^{p-1} \\
& + \frac{2pA}{B} [(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2)v + \omega (a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)] (A + B \tanh s)^p \\
& - \frac{p}{B} [(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2)v + \omega (a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)] (A + B \tanh s)^{p+1} = 0 \tag{3.61}
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. From (3.60), equating the exponents $(p+1, p(2n+1))$ leads to the same value of p as in (3.30). From the linearly independent functions in (3.61), the speed of the soliton is retrieved as in (2.5) and the same relation (3.53) is obtained. Next, from the real part equation given by (3.60), the following relations are obtained

$$\omega = \frac{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2)}{a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1}, \tag{3.62}$$

$$v = \frac{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2)}{(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)}, \tag{3.63}$$

and

$$A = B = -\frac{(2n+1)b_1}{4(n+1)b_2}, \tag{3.64}$$

which prompts the same constraint relations as in the parabolic law case. Finally, the dark 1-soliton solution to the NLSE with dual-power law nonlinearity is given by

$$q(x, y, t) = [A \{1 + \tanh(B_1 x + B_2 y - vt)\}]^{\frac{1}{2n}} e^{i(-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta)}. \quad (3.65)$$

General text of this section.

3.3 SINGULAR SOLITONS

In this section we discuss the singular solitons of the NLSE with STD. Singular solitons can serve as a possible analytical model for the formation of rogue waves. However, this is not yet confirmed. It is not yet known if log law nonlinearity supports singular optical solitons. Therefore, we only consider the remaining four types of nonlinearities.

3.3.1 KERR LAW

For Kerr law nonlinearity, we write [23, 24]

$$P(x, y, t) = A \operatorname{csch}^p s \quad (3.66)$$

In this case, just as for dark solitons, A , B_1 and B_2 are free parameters. Substituting into (52) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\omega + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2)\} \operatorname{csch}^p s - b A^2 \operatorname{csch}^{3p} s \\ & + \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} \{p^2 \operatorname{csch}^p s - p(p+1) \operatorname{csch}^{p+2} s\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.67)$$

Here, we find $p = 1$ and

$$q(x, y, t) = A \operatorname{csch}(B_1 x + B_2 y - vt) e^{i(-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta)} \quad (3.68)$$

with all the parameters and constraint conditions defined through (3.8)-(3.10).

3.3.2 POWER LAW

For power law nonlinearity, substituting this hypothesis (3.66) into (3.12) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \omega + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2) \right\} \operatorname{csch}^p s - b A^{2n} \operatorname{csch}^{(2n+1)p} s \\ & + \left\{ a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) \right\} \left\{ p^2 \operatorname{csch}^p s - p(p+1) \operatorname{csch}^{p+2} s \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.69)$$

The singular 1-soliton solution is

$$q(x, y, t) = A \operatorname{csch}^{\frac{1}{n}}(B_1 x + B_2 y - vt) e^{i(-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta)} \quad (3.70)$$

where ω and A are defined by (3.15) and (3.16) respectively.

3.3.3 PARABOLIC LAW

Our starting point for the singular 1-soliton solution is the ansatz [23, 24]

$$P(x, y, t) = \frac{A}{(D_1 + \sinh s)^p} \quad (3.71)$$

where, D_1 is a parameter. Substituting this hypothesis (3.71) into (3.18) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\omega + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2) \\
& - p^2 \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\}] \\
& + p(2p+1)D_1 \frac{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)}{D_1 + \sinh s} \\
& + p(p+1) (D_1^2 - 1) \frac{v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2)}{(D_1 + \sinh s)^2} \\
& - \frac{b_1 A^2}{(D_1 + \sinh s)^{2p}} - \frac{b_2 A^4}{(D_1 + \sinh s)^{4p}} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.72}$$

The same parameter definitions and constraint conditions as given by (3.21)-(3.25) are obtained. Therefore, the singular 1-soliton solution is

$$q(x, y, t) = \frac{A}{\{D_1 + \sinh (B_1 x + B_2 y - vt)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{i(-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta)}. \tag{3.73}$$

3.3.4 DUAL-POWER LAW

For dual-power law nonlinearity, we assume [23, 24]

$$P(x, y, t) = \frac{A}{(D_2 + \sinh s)^p} \tag{3.74}$$

where D_2 is a constant to be determined. Substituting (3.74) into (3.26) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\omega + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2) \\
& - p^2 \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\}] \\
& + p(2p+1)D_2 \frac{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)}{D_2 + \sinh s} \\
& + p(p+1) (D_2^2 - 1) \frac{v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2)}{(D_2 + \sinh s)^2} \\
& - \frac{b_1 A^{2n}}{(D_2 + \sinh s)^{2np}} - \frac{b_2 A^{4n}}{(D_2 + \sinh s)^{4np}} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.75}$$

and also leads to the same parameter relations expressed through equations (3.30)-(3.34). The singular 1-soliton solution to (34) is

$$q(x, y, t) = \frac{A}{\{D_2 + \sinh (B_1 x + B_2 y - vt)\}^{\frac{1}{2n}}} e^{i(-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 y + \omega t + \theta)}. \tag{3.76}$$

Chapter 4

SEMI-INVERSE VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

The third method of integrability that will be studied in this chapter is the so called semi-inverse variational principle (SVP). In an attempt to solve (1.1), we look for traveling wave hypothesis as given by (2.1). Upon substituting (2.1) into (1.1) leads to the velocity given by (2.5) and the ordinary differential equation (2.4). Multiplying both sides of (2.4) by g' and integrating leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} (g')^2 \\ = & \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} g^2 - 2 \int^g h F(h^2) dh + K \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where K is the integration constant. The stationary integral is then defined as [3, 26]

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} (g')^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2)\} g^2 + 2 \int^g h F(h^2) dh \right] ds \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Finally, underlying the SVP is to postulate a trial solution for the 1-soliton solution.

Hence we assume

$$g(s) = Af [\operatorname{sech}(Bs)], \quad (4.3)$$

or

$$g(s) = Ae^{-B^2 s^2} \quad (4.4)$$

depending on the type of nonlinearity that is being considered. The functional f in (4.3) is based on one of the first four forms of nonlinearity. The second form of assumption is for the log law nonlinearity. Here, A is the amplitude and B is the inverse width of the soliton or Gausson. The SVP states that the amplitude A and the inverse width B can be obtained from the following coupled system of equations [3, 26]

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial A} = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial B} = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

This principle will now be applied to the NLSE and studied in details in the following five subsections.

4.1 KERR LAW

For Kerr law nonlinearity, the stationary integral is given by [3]

$$\begin{aligned} J = & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} (g')^2 \right. \\ & \left. - \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} g^2 + \frac{bg^4}{2} \right] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

The assumption for $g(s)$ in this case is [3, 11]

$$g(s) = A \operatorname{sech}(Bs). \quad (4.8)$$

Upon substituting this into the stationary integral and simplifying leads to

$$\begin{aligned} J = & \frac{2A^2}{3B} \left[\{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} B^2 \right. \\ & \left. - 3 \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} + bA^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Setting the partial derivatives of J with respect to A and B to zero results in

$$\begin{aligned} & \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} B^2 \\ & - 3 \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} + 2bA^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} B^2 \\ & + 3 \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} - bA^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Solving the coupled system (4.10) and (4.11) gives

$$A = \left[\frac{2 \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\}}{b} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$B = \left[\frac{\omega(a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1) - a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2)}{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.13)$$

while the amplitude-width relationship is

$$B = A \left[\frac{b}{2 \{v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2)\}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.14)$$

Requiring that $A > 0$ and $B > 0$ means

$$b \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} > 0, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\{\omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1) - a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2)\} \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} > 0, \quad (4.16)$$

and

$$b \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} < 0. \quad (4.17)$$

The 1-soliton solution to (2.8) is (2.15) where the parameters are defined in (4.12)-(4.14) and the constraints are as in (4.15)-(4.17).

4.2 POWER LAW

For power law nonlinearity, the stationary integral is

$$\begin{aligned} J = & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} (g')^2 \right. \\ & \left. - \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} g^2 + \frac{b g^{2n+2}}{n+1} \right] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Here, soliton profile is taken to be [3, 11]

$$g(s) = A \operatorname{sech}^{\frac{1}{n}}(Bs). \quad (4.19)$$

Here, soliton profile is taken to be [3, 11]

$$g(s) = A \operatorname{sech}^{\frac{1}{n}}(Bs). \quad (4.19)$$

Substituting (4.19) into (4.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J = & \frac{A^2}{n(n+1)(n+2)B} \left[\{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} (n+1) B^2 \right. \\ & \left. - n(n+1)(n+2) \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} + 2nbA^{2n} \right] \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{n})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n})}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the Gamma function. Using (4.5) and (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} B^2 \\ & - n(n+2) \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} + 2nbA^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (n+1) \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} B^2 \\ & + n(n+1)(n+2) \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} - 2nbA^{2n} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

from which we deduce that

$$A = \left[\frac{(n+1) \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\}}{b} \right]^{\frac{1}{2n}}, \quad (4.23)$$

and

$$B = n \left[\frac{\omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1) - a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2)}{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.24)$$

while the amplitude-width relationship is given by

$$B = n A^n \left[\frac{b}{(n+1) \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.25)$$

4.3 PARABOLIC LAW

Here, the stationary integral is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} J = & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} (g')^2 \right. \\ & \left. - \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} g^2 + \frac{b_1 g^4}{2} + \frac{b_2 g^6}{3} \right] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

The trial function for $g(s)$ is [11]

$$g(s) = \frac{A}{\{D_1 + \cosh(Bs)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (4.27)$$

for some external parameter D_1 . Substituting this into the stationary integral (4.26)

$$\begin{aligned} J = & \frac{2}{3} \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} A^2 B M_1 \\ & - 2 \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} \frac{A^2 M_2}{B} \\ & + \frac{b_1 M_3 A^4}{3B} + \frac{4b_2 M_4 A^6}{45B}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

where M_j ($1 \leq j \leq 4$) is

$$M_1 = F\left(3, 1, -\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1-D_1}{2}\right), \quad (4.29)$$

$$M_2 = F\left(1, 1, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1-D_1}{2}\right), \quad (4.30)$$

$$M_3 = F\left(2, 2, \frac{5}{2}; \frac{1-D_1}{2}\right), \quad (4.31)$$

and

$$M_4 = F\left(3, 3, \frac{7}{2}; \frac{1-D_1}{2}\right). \quad (4.32)$$

Here, $F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z)$ is the Gauss' hypergeometric function that is defined as [10]

$$F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n)\Gamma(\beta+n)}{\Gamma(\gamma+n)} \frac{z^n}{n!}. \quad (4.33)$$

The convergence criteria for the hypergeometric function is given by

$$\gamma < \alpha + \beta, \quad (4.34)$$

and

$$|z| < 1 \quad (4.35)$$

the latter of which, implies

$$-1 < D_1 < 3. \quad (4.36)$$

Now, equations (4.5) and (4.6) respectively produce

$$\begin{aligned} & 5 \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} B^2 M_1 \\ - & 15 \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} M_2 + 5b_1 A^2 M_3 + 2b_2 A^4 M_4 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 5 \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} B^2 M_1 \\ - & 15 \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} M_2 + 5b_1 A^2 M_3 + 2b_2 A^4 M_4 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

Solving for the amplitude A and the inverse width B

$$A = \left[\frac{3Y - 45b_1 M_3}{32b_2 M_4} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.39)$$

where

$$Y = \sqrt{225b_1^2 M_3^2 + 1280b_2 M_2 M_4 \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\}}.$$

$$B = A \left[\frac{15b_1 M_3 + 8b_2 M_4 A^2}{60M_1 \{v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2)\}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.40)$$

These imply the constraint conditions

$$256b_2M_2M_4 \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1)\} + 45b_1^2M_3^2 > 0, \quad (4.41)$$

and

$$M_1 (15b_1M_3 + 8b_2M_4A^2) \{v (a_2B_1 + a_3B_2) - a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2)\} > 0. \quad (4.42)$$

4.4 DUAL-POWER LAW

The stationary integral now is

$$\begin{aligned} J = & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)\} (g')^2 \right. \\ & \left. - \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1)\} g^2 + \frac{b_1g^{2n+2}}{n+1} + \frac{b_2g^{4n+2}}{2n+1} \right] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

The trial function is [11]

$$g(s) = \frac{A}{\{D_2 + \cosh(Bs)\}^{\frac{1}{2n}}}, \quad (4.44)$$

where D_2 is a parameter. On substituting (4.24) into (4.23)

$$\begin{aligned} J = & \frac{2}{2^{\frac{1}{n}}} \left[\frac{n}{n+2} \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2B_1 + a_3B_2)\} A^2BN_1 \right. \\ & - \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1)\} \frac{A^2N_2}{B} \\ & \left. + \frac{b_1A^{2n+2}N_3}{(n+1)B} + \frac{(n+1)b_2A^{4n+2}N_4}{(n+2)(2n+1)(3n+2)B} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

where N_j , ($1 \leq j \leq 4$)

$$N_1 = F\left(\frac{1}{n} + 2, \frac{1}{n}, -\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1 - D_2}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right)}, \quad (4.46)$$

$$N_2 = F\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1 - D_2}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right)}, \quad (4.47)$$

$$N_3 = F\left(\frac{1}{n} + 1, \frac{1}{n} + 1, \frac{1}{n} + \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1 - D_2}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right)}, \quad (4.48)$$

and

$$N_4 = F\left(\frac{1}{n} + 2, \frac{1}{n} + 2, \frac{1}{n} + \frac{5}{2}; \frac{1 - D_2}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right)}. \quad (4.49)$$

The existence criteria is

$$-1 < D_2 < 3. \quad (4.50)$$

Now, equations (4.5) and (4.6) respectively reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} & n(3n + 2) \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} N_1 B^2 \\ - & (n + 2)(3n + 2) \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} N_2 \\ + & (n + 2)(3n + 2) b_1 N_3 A^{2n} + (n + 1) b_2 N_4 A^{4n} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.51)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& n(n+1)(2n+1)(3n+2) \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\} N_1 B^2 \\
& + (n+1)(n+2)(2n+1)(3n+2) \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} N_2 \\
& - (n+2)(2n+1)(3n+2) b_1 N_3 A^{2n} - (n+1)^2 N_4 A^{4n} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

Solving for the amplitude A

$$A = \left[\frac{Z}{4n(n+1)b_2 N_4 \sqrt{(n+2)(3n+2)}} - \frac{b_1(2n+1)N_3}{4b_2(n+1)N_4} \right]^{\frac{1}{2n}}, \tag{4.53}$$

where

$$Z = \sqrt{n^2(n+2)(2n+1)^2(3n+2)b_1^2 N_3^2 - 16n(n+1)^3(2n+1)b_2 N_2 N_4 \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\}}.$$

The connection between the amplitude A and the parameter B is

$$B = A^n \left[\frac{(n+2)(2n+1)(3n+2)b_1 N_3 + 2(n+1)^2 b_2 N_4 A^{2n}}{2(n+1)(2n+1)(3n+2)N_1 \{a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2)\}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.54}$$

Finally, the constraints are

$$\begin{aligned}
& 16(n+1)^3 b_2 N_2 N_4 \{a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)\} \\
& - n(n+2)(2n+1)(3n+2)b_1^2 N_3^2 < 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.55}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ (n+2)(2n+1)(3n+2)b_1N_3 + 2(n+1)^2b_2N_4A^{2n} \} \\ & \{ a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2) \} N_1 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.56)$$

4.5 LOG LAW

Finally, for log law nonlinearity,

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\{ a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2) \} (g')^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \{ a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1) \} g^2 + 2bg^2 \ln g - bg^2 \right] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.57)$$

The assumption for $g(s)$ in this case is given by (4.4) [3]. Upon inserting this expression into the stationary integral (4.57) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{4B} \left[2 \{ a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2) \} A^2 B^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \{ a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1) \} A^2 + A^2 (3b + 4b \ln A) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.58)$$

By (4.5) and (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \{ a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v(a_2B_1 + a_3B_2) \} B^2 \\ & - 2 \{ a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega(a_2\kappa_1 + a_3\kappa_2 - 1) \} + 3b + 4b \ln A + 2b = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \{ a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) \} B^2 \\
 & + 2 \{ a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1) \} + 3b - 4blnA = 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.60}$$

Solving the coupled system (4.59)-(4.60) gives the amplitude of the Gausson as

$$A = \exp \left\{ \frac{b + a_1 (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) - \omega (a_2 \kappa_1 + a_3 \kappa_2 - 1)}{2b} \right\},
 \tag{4.61}$$

and the parameter B is

$$B = \sqrt{\frac{b}{2 \{ v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) - a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) \}}},
 \tag{4.62}$$

which prompts the constraint condition

$$b \{ a_1 (B_1^2 + B_2^2) - v (a_2 B_1 + a_3 B_2) \} < 0.
 \tag{4.63}$$

Chapter 5

CONCLUSIONS

Three different approaches were used to obtain the 1-soliton solution to the (2+1)-dimensional NLSE in presence of STD. These include the first integral method, ansatz method and the SVP. The application of these methods provide the bright, dark as well as singular soliton solutions for five types of nonlinearity. They are Kerr Law, Power Law, Parabolic Law, Dual-Power Law and the Log Law nonlinearity. It is for the log law nonlinearity that only bright soliton solutions are possible.

In future, there are several related problems that can be tackled. They include obtaining the quasi-stationary solitons in the presence of perturbation terms, developing the quasi-particle theory for suppressing the soliton-soliton interaction. The study of soliton solutions for vector NLSE in (2+1)-dimensions is also pending. The variational approach will also be applied, with perturbation terms, in an attempt to obtain the soliton solution as well as soliton radiation. Several other approaches will be implemented in order to extract solitons and Gaussons.

REFERENCE LIST

- [1] A. Biswas & S. Konar. "Introduction to non-Kerr law optical solitons". *CRC Press Boca Raton, FL. USA* . (2006).
- [2] A. Biswas, M. Fessak, S. Johnson, S. Beatrice, D. Milovic, Z.Jovanoski, R. Kohl & F. Majid. "Optical soliton perturbation in non-Kerr law media: Traveling wave solution". *Optics and Laser Technology*. Volume 44, Issue 1, 1775-1780. (2012).
- [3] A. Biswas, D. Milovic, M. Savescu, M. F. Mahmood, K. R. Khan. & R. Kohl. "Optical soliton perturbation in nano-fibers with improved nonlinear Schrödinger's equation by semi-inverse variational principle". *Journal of Non-linear Optical Physics and Materials*. Volume 12, Number 4, 1250054. (2012).
- [4] A. S. Fokas. "On a class of physically important integral equations". *Physica D*. Volume 87, Issues 1-4, 145-150. (1995).
- [5] X. Geng & Y. Lv. "Darboux transformation for an integrable generalization of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation". *Nonlinear Dynamics*. Volume 69, Issue 4, 1621-1630. (2012).
- [6] P. D. Green & A. Biswas. "Bright and dark optical solitons with time-dependent coefficients in a non-Kerr law media". *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*. Volume 15, Issue 12, 3865-3873. (2010).
- [7] S. Jana & S. Konar. "Tunable spectral switching in the far field with a chirped cosh-Gaussian pulse". *Optics Communications*. Volume 267, 24-31. (2006).
- [8] S. Jana & S. Konar. "A new family of Thirring type optical spatial solitons vis electromagnetic induced transparency". *Physics Letters A*. Volume 362, 505-510. (2007).
- [9] Z. Jovanoski & D. R. Rowland. "Variational analysis of solitary waves in a homogeneous cubic-quintic nonlinear medium". *Journal of Modern Optics*. Volume 48, Issue 7, 1179-1193. (2001).
- [10] R. Kohl, A. Biswas, D. Milovic & E. Zerrad. "Optical soliton perturbation in a non-Kerr law media". *Optics and Laser Technology*. Volume 40, Issue 4, 647-662. (2008).

- [11] R. Kohl, A. Biswas, D. Milovic & E. Zerrad. "Optical solitons by He's variational principle in a non-Kerr law media". *Journal of Infrared, Millimeter and Terahertz Waves*. Volume 30, Number 5, 526-537. (2009).
- [12] S. Konar & A. Sengupta. "Propagation of an elliptic Gaussian laser beam in a medium with saturable nonlinearity". *Journal of Optical Society of America B*. Volume 11, 1644-1646. (1994).
- [13] S. Konar & S. Jana. "Linear and nonlinear propagation of sinh-Gaussian pulses in dispersive media possessing Kerr law nonlinearity". *Optics Communications*. Volume 236, Numbers 1-3, 7-20. (2004).
- [14] S. Konar, S. Jana & S. Shwetanshumala. "Incoherently coupled screening photovoltaic spatial solitons in a biased photovoltaic photo refractive crystals". *Optics Communications*. Volume 273, 324-333. (2007).
- [15] S. Konar, M. Mishra & S. Jana. "Nonlinear evolution of cosh-Gaussian laser beams and generations of flat-top spatial solitons in cubic-quintic nonlinear media". *Physics Letters A*. Volume 362, 505-510. (2007).
- [16] S. Konar, Z. Jovanoski & I. N. Towers. "Two color bright solitons in a three-level atomic system in the cascade configuration". *Journal of Modern Optics*. Volume 58, Issue 12, 1035-1040. (2011).
- [17] S. Kumar, K. Singh & R. K. Gupta. "Coupled Higgs field equation and Hamiltonian amplitude equation: Lie classical approach and G'/G -expansion method". *Pramana*. Volume 79, Issue 1, 41-60. (2012).
- [18] L. Lenells & A. S. Fokas. "An integrable generalization of the nonlinear Schrödinger's equation on the half line and solitons". *Inverse Problems*. Volume 25. 115006. (2009).
- [19] L. Lenells & A. S. Fokas. "Dressing for a novel integrable generalization of the nonlinear Schrödinger's equation". *Journal of Nonlinear Science*. Volume 20, 709-722. (2010).
- [20] S. G. Leon-Saval, T. A. Birka, W. J. Wadsworth & P. S. J. Russell. "Supercontinuum generation in submicron fibre waveguides". *Optics Express*. Volume 12, 2864-2869. (2004).
- [21] M. Mishra and S. Konar, Interaction of solitons in a dispersion-managed and optical communication system with asymmetric and dispersion map, *J. Electromagn. Waves Appl.* 21(14) (2007) 2049-2058.
- [22] M. Mishra and S. Konar, High bit rate dense dispersion-managed optical communication systems with distribution amplification, *Progr. Electromagn. Res.* 78 (2008) 301-320.

- [23] M. Sacescu, K. R. Khan, P. Naruka, H. Jafari, L. Moraru and A. Biswas, Optical solitons in photonic nanowaveguides with an improved nonlinear Schrödinger's equation, *J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci.* **10**(5) (2013) 1182-1191.
- [24] M. Sacescu, K. R. Khan, R. W. Kohl, L. Moraru, A. Yildirim and A. Biswas, Optical Soliton perturbation with improved nonlinear Schrödinger's equation in nanofibers, *J. Nanoelectron. Optoelectron.* **8**(2) (2013) 208-220.
- [25] S. Shwetanshumala, S. Jana and S. Konar, Propagation of a mixture of modes of a Laser beam in a medium with saturable nonlinearity, *J. Electromagn. Waves Appl.* **20** (2006) 2193-2205.
- [26] S. Shwetanshumala, Temporal solitons of modified complex Ginzburg-Landau equation, *Progr. Electromagn. Res. Lett.* **3** (2008) 17-24.
- [27] H. Triki and A. Biswas, Dark solitons for a generalized nonlinear Schrödinger's equation with parabolic and dual-power law nonlinearities, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **34**(8) (2011) 958-962.
- [28] E. Topkara, D. Milovic, A. K. Sarma, F. Majid and A. Biswas, A study of optical Solitons with Kerr and power law nonlinearities by He' s variational principle, *J. Eur. opt. Soc.* **4**(2009) 09050.
- [29] E. Topkara, D. Milovic, A. K. Sarma, E. Zerrad and A. Biswas, Optical solitons With non-Kerr law nonlinearity and inter-modal dispersion with time-dependent coefficients, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **15**(9) (2010) 2320-2330.
- [30] Y. Xu, Z. Jovanoski, A. Bouasla, H. Triki, L. Moraru and A. Biswas, Optical Solitons in Multi-Dimensions with Spatio-Temporal Dispersion and Non-Kerr Law Nonlinearity, *Journal of Nonlinear Optical Physics and Materials*, **22**(3), 30 pages, 2013.

- [23] M. Sacescu, K. R. Khan, P. Naruka, H. Jafari, L. Moraru and A. Biswas, Optical solitons in photonic nanowaveguides with an improved nonlinear Schrödinger's equation, *J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci.* **10**(5) (2013) 1182-1191.
- [24] M. Savescu, K. R. Khan, R. W. Kohl, L. Moraru, A. Yildirim and A. Biswas, Optical Soliton perturbation with improved nonlinear Schrödinger's equation in nanofibers, *J. Nanoelectron. Optoelectron.* **8**(2) (2013) 208-220.
- [25] S. Shwetanshumala, S. Jana and S. Konar, Propagation of a mixture of modes of a Laser beam in a medium with saturable nonlinearity, *J. Electromagn. Waves Appl.* **20** (2006) 2193-2205.
- [26] S. Shwetanshumala, Temporal solitons of modified complex Ginzburg-Landau equation, *Progr. Electromagn. Res. Lett.* **3** (2008) 17-24.
- [27] H. Triki and A. Biswas, Dark solitons for a generalized nonlinear Schrödinger's equation with parabolic and dual-power law nonlinearities, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **34**(8) (2011) 958-962.
- [28] E. Topkara, D. Milovic, A. K. Sarma, F. Majid and A. Biswas, A study of optical Solitons with Kerr and power law nonlinearities by He' s variational principle, *J. Eur. opt. Sec.* **4**(2009) 09050.
- [29] E. Topkara, D. Milovic, A. K. Sarma, E. Zerrad and A. Biswas, Optical solitons With non-Kerr law nonlinearity and inter-modal dispersion with time-dependent coefficients, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **15**(9) (2010) 2320-2330.
- [30] Yanan Xu, Zlatko Jovanoski, Abdelaziz Bouasla, Houria Triki, Luminita Moraru and Anjan Biswas, Optical Solitons in Multi-Dimensions with Spatio-Temporal Dispersion and Non-Kerr Law Nonlinearity, *Journal of Nonlinear Optical Physics and Materials*, **22**(3), 30 pages, 2013.

CURRICULUM VITAE

Name: Yanan Xu

Email: yxu12@students.desu.edu

EDUCATION

M.S. in Applied Mathematics, Delaware State University, September 2012-present

M.S. in Applied Mathematics, Inner Mongolia University, July 2012

B.S. in Computational Mathematics, Inner Mongolia Normal University, July 2008

TEACHING EXPERIENCE

Teaching Assistant of Algebra, DSU, Dover, Delaware, 2013.1-present

Teaching Assistant of Advanced Algebra, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot, China, 2010.9-2011.1

Teaching Assistant of Advanced Algebra, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot, China, 2010.3-2010.7

Teaching Assistant of Linear Algebra, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot, China, 2009.9-2010.1

RESEARCH INTERESTS

Optical Solitons, Variational Principles.

PUBLICATIONS:

1: **Yanan Xu**, Zlatko Jovanoski, Abdelaziz Bouasla, Houria Triki, Luminita Moraru and Anjan Biswas, Optical Solitons in Multi-Dimensions with Spatio-Temporal Dispersion and Non-Kerr Law Nonlinearity, Journal of Nonlinear Optical Physics and Materials, 22(3), 30 pages, 2013.

2: Guolin Hou, Gaowa Qi, **Yanan Xu** and Alatancang, The separable Hamiltonian System and Complete Biorthogonal Expansion Method of Mindlin Plate Bending Problems, Science China (Physics, Mechanics and Astronomy), 56(5), 974-980, 2013.

3: **Yanan Xu**, Guolin Hou and Alatancang, The Variational Principle for Disturbance of Plane Viscous Flow and Its Biorthogonality Relationship, Journal of Dynamics and Control, 9(2), 97-101, 2011.